

ФИЛОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ КЛАСС

Научно-методический журнал

2(52) / 2018

Учредитель
федеральное государственное бюджетное образовательное учреждение
высшего образования «Уральский государственный педагогический университет»

Редакция

Н. П. Хрящева — главный редактор, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
О.А. Скрипова — ученый секретарь журнала, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
М. А. Алексеева, Уральский федеральный университет, Специализированный учебно-научный центр, Россия
Н. В. Барковская, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Л. Д. Гутрина, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Е. В. Дзюба, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Е. Г. Доценко, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
С. А. Еремينا, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
С. И. Ермоленко, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
К. С. Козут, ГАПОУСО «Екатеринбургский автомобильно-дорожный колледж», Россия
А. М. Плотникова, Уральский федеральный университет, Россия
А. В. Тагильцев, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия

Редакционная коллегия

Л. О. Бутакова, Омский государственный университет им. Ф.М. Достоевского, Россия
Я. Галло, Университет им. Константина Философа в Нитре, Словакия
Е. В. Ерофеева, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Й. Кеснер, Университет Градец Кралове, Чехия
М. Л. Кусова, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
М. А. Литовская, Уральский федеральный университет, Институт истории и археологии Уральского отделения РАН, Россия
М. Ю. Мухин, Уральский федеральный университет, Россия
М. Э. Рут, Уральский федеральный университет, Россия
Т. А. Смигирева, Уральский федеральный университет, Институт истории и археологии Уральского отделения РАН, Россия
Л. С. Соболева, Уральский федеральный университет, Россия
Е.К. Созина, Институт истории и археологии Уральского отделения РАН, Россия
Д. А. Старкова, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия

Редакционный совет

И. Б. Ворожцова, Удмуртский государственный университет, Россия
Е. А. Добренко, Университет Шеффилда, США
Б. Доог, Университет Гента, Бельгия
Б. Ф. Егоров, Санкт-Петербургский исследовательский институт РАН, Россия
О. А. Клинг, Московский государственный университет, Россия
И. В. Кукулин, Национальный исследовательский университет «Высшая школа экономики», Россия
М. Н. Липовецкий, Университет штата Колорадо, США
Н. М. Малыгина, Московский городской педагогический университет, Россия
Г. С. Меркин, Смоленский государственный университет, Россия
Г. П. Михайлова, Вильнюсский университет, Литва
Д.С. Московская, Институт мировой литературы РАН, Россия
Г. Л. Нефагина, Поморская Академия, Польша
Н. В. Пестова, Уральский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
И. Постшилл, Университет им. Масарика, Чехия
Е. А. Подшивалова, Удмуртский государственный университет, Россия
Е. Н. Проскура, Институт филологии СО РАН, Россия
Е. А. Рябухина, Пермский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Н. П. Терентьева, Челябинский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
Л. А. Трубина, Московский педагогический государственный университет, Россия
В. И. Тюпа, Российский государственный гуманитарный университет, Россия
Й. Херльт, Фрибурский университет, Швейцария
М. А. Черняк, Российский государственный педагогический университет, Россия
С. Г. Шейдаева, Удмуртский государственный университет, Россия
Ю. В. Щербинина, Московский педагогический государственный университет, Россия

Адрес редакции

620017, г. Екатеринбург, пр. Космонавтов, 26
ФГБОУ ВО «Уральский государственный педагогический университет»
Редакция журнала «Филологический класс»
телефон: (343) 235-76-66; (343) 235-76-41
электронный адрес: olga-skripova@mail.ru

ISSN 2071-2405

© ФГБОУ ВО «УрГПУ», 2018
© Филологический класс, 2018

КОНЦЕПЦИИ. ПРОГРАММЫ. ГИПОТЕЗЫ

<i>Рут М. Э.</i> Уроки этимологии.....	7
<i>Закружная З. С., Московская Д. С.</i> Институциональное измерение советской литературы. К истории забытого литературного объединения ЛОКАФ.....	12

**ЛЕЙДЕРМАНОВСКИЕ ЧТЕНИЯ:
ЭСТЕТИКА МИНИМАЛИЗМА.**

К 80-летию профессора Н. Л. Лейдермана

<i>Тюпа В. И.</i> Жанровая природа нарративных стратегий.....	19
<i>Приказчикова Е. Е.</i> Жанр анекдота в «Записках» Г. Державина.....	25
<i>Мароши В. В.</i> Аккумуляция разных типов референции в миниатюре И. А. Бунина «Канун».....	30
<i>Працгерук Н. В.</i> Миниатюры И. А. Бунина 1920-х годов: символическое возвращение на родину.....	38
<i>Абашева М. П.</i> Новые стратегии письма и чтения в эпоху социальных сетей.....	43
<i>Барковская Н. В.</i> Рассказ Ирины Глебовой «Полиэтиленовый пакетик, душа картофельного мешка»: синтез жанровых традиций.....	49

К 200-ЛЕТНЕМУ ЮБИЛЕЮ Ф. И. БУСЛАЕВА

<i>Ложкова Т. А.</i> «Основные начала нашей народности» в трудах Ф. И. Буслаева.....	54
<i>Коновалова Н. И.</i> От формальной логики к «живой грамматике»: идеи Ф. И. Буслаева в современной теории и практике обучения русскому языку.....	59
<i>Гридина Т. А.</i> «Через язык открывается дитяти сознание...»: соотношение вербального и предметного кодов в детской картине мира.....	64

К 150-ЛЕТНЕМУ ЮБИЛЕЮ А.М. ГОРЬКОГО

<i>Чони П.</i> Горький и «Евангелие новой веры».....	70
<i>Быстрова О. В.</i> Влияние М. Горького на развитие национальных литератур.....	76
<i>Мальгина Н. М.</i> Из истории отношений М. Горького и А. Платонова: контекст и подтекст.....	83

ПРОБЛЕМЫ СОВРЕМЕННОЙ ЛИНГВИСТИКИ

<i>Будаев Э. В., Чудинов А. П.</i> Методологические инновации в педагогической метафорологии.....	88
<i>Бутакова Л. О.</i> Старый—молодой—дед: динамика субъективной семантики слова.....	95
<i>Ерофеева Е. В.</i> Сопоставительный анализ способов передачи русских реалий на французский и испанский языки.....	102

**ТЕОРИЯ И МЕТОДИКА ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ
ФИЛОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ДИСЦИПЛИН В ШКОЛЕ И ВУЗЕ**

<i>Дзюба Е. В., Еремина С. А.</i> Урок чтения на русском языке в иностранной аудитории: методический и методологический аспекты.....	109
<i>Кондратьева О. Н.</i> Этимологический анализ на уроках русского языка как одно из средств повышения орфографической грамотности учащихся среднего звена.....	118
<i>Овчинникова М. Н.</i> От внеклассного чтения – к сочинению: из опыта работы над повестью Н. Никонова «След рыси» в 11 классе.....	125

<i>Бикбаева Э. Р.</i> Большие тайны малых жанров: проект урока литературы по рассказу Н. Дашевской «Крендельков».....	129
---	-----

ТРАЕКТОРИИ СОВРЕМЕННОГО ЛИТЕРАТУРНОГО ПРОЦЕССА

<i>Богдановва О. А.</i> Дачный топос в литературных источниках XX в. и в романе Е. Г. Водолазкина «Авиатор».....	134
<i>Турьшева О. Н.</i> Сожжение книг: новая семантика старого мотива (на материале романа В. Сорокина «Манাগара»).....	141
<i>Пантюхина А. И.</i> Мотив мученичества в романе В. Шарова «Воскрешение Лазаря».....	146

ПРОБЛЕМЫ ПОЭТИКИ ЗАРУБЕЖНОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

<i>Балашова Т. В.</i> Французская литература от века XIX к веку XX: эскизный портрет Максимилиана Волошина.....	153
<i>Ловцова О. В.</i> Виртуальная реальность современного детства в пьесах британских драматургов.....	159

С РАБОЧЕГО СТОЛА МОЛОДОГО УЧЕНОГО

<i>Жукова Ю. В.</i> Тенденция «спрятанного холста» в литературе (экфрасис в творчестве Л. М. Леонова).....	165
<i>Жуйкова Е. В.</i> Об одном из прототипов образа Ленина в эпосе А. И. Солженицына «Красное колесо».....	172

ОБЗОРЫ. РЕЦЕНЗИИ

<i>Урюпин И. С.</i> Летопись отечественного литературоведения в лицах (Рецензия на словарь: Русские литературоведы XX века: Биобиблиографический словарь. Т. I. А–Л / сост. А. А. Холиков ; под общей редакцией О. А. Клинга и А. А. Холикова. — М.; СПб.: Нестор — История, 2017. — 532 с.: ил.).....	177
<i>Ариас-Вихиль М. А.</i> «Логика последовательного и крайнего гуманизма»: о новой книге Павла Басинского «Горький: страсти по Максиму» (М.: АСТ, редакция Елены Шубиной, 2018).....	180
<i>Спишьякова А., Громинова А.</i> Международная научно-практическая конференция «Определение картины мира в русском языке, культуре и литературе» (10–11 мая 2018 года, г. Трнава).....	183

CONCEPTIONS. PROGRAMS. HYPOTHESIS

<i>Ruth M. E.</i> Lessons of Etymology.....	7
<i>Zakruzhnaya Z. S., Moskovskaya D. S.</i> Institutional Aspect of Soviet Literature. To the History of the Forgotten Literary Union of the Red Army and Navy.....	12

LEADERMAN READINGS: AESTHETICS OF MINIMALISM.

On the 80th anniversary of Professor N. L. Leiderman

<i>Tiupa V. I.</i> Genre Nature of Narrative Strategies.....	19
<i>Prikazchikova E. E.</i> Genre of Anecdote in G. Derzhavin's Notes.....	25
<i>Maroshi V. V.</i> Accumulation of Different Types of References in I. A. Bunin's Miniature «Eve».....	30
<i>Prashcheruk N. V.</i> Bunin`s Miniatures of the 1920s: Symbolic Return to the Motherland.....	38
<i>Abasheva M. P.</i> New Strategies for Writing and Reading in The Era of Social Networks.....	43
<i>Barkovskaya N. V.</i> Irina Glebova's Story "Polyethylene Sachet, the Soul of the Potato Bag": Synthesis of Genre Traditions.....	49

TO THE 200th ANNIVERSARY OF F. I. BUSLAEVA

<i>Lozhkova T. A.</i> "The Basic Principles of Our Nationality" in the Works of Fyodor Buslaev.....	54
<i>Konovalova N. I.</i> From Formal Logic to "Living Grammar": Ideas of F. Buslaev in the Modern Theory and Practice of Teaching the Russian Language.....	59
<i>Gridina T. A.</i> «Через язык открывается дитяти сознание...»: соотношение вербального и предметного кодов в детской картине мира.....	64

TO THE 150th ANNIVERSARY OF A. M. GORKY

<i>Cioni P.</i> Gorky and «The Gospel of the New Faith».....	70
<i>Bystrova O. V.</i> Влияние М. Горького на развитие национальных литератур.....	76
<i>Malygina N. M.</i> From the History of Relations between M. Gorky and A. Platonov: Context and Implications	83

PROBLEMS OF MODERN LINGUISTICS

<i>Budaev E. V., Chudinov A. P.</i> Методологические инновации в педагогической метафорологии.....	88
<i>Butakova L. O.</i> Old — Young — Granddad: Dynamics of Subjective Word Semantics.....	95
<i>Erofeeva E. V.</i> Comparative Analysis of the Ways to Transferring Russian Realia to the French and Spanish Languages.....	102

THEORY AND METHODOLOGY OF TEACHING

PHILOLOGICAL DISCIPLINES IN SCHOOL AND UNIVERSITY

<i>Dziuba E. V., Eremina S. A.</i> Reading Lesson for Students Learning Russian as a Foreign Language: Teaching Methods and Methodology.....	109
<i>Kondratyeva O. N.</i> The Etymological Analysis at the of Russian Language Lessons as One of the Means of Orthographical Literacy Increase of Middle-School Students.....	118

<i>Ovchinnikova M. N.</i> От внеклассного чтения – к сочинению: из опыта работы над повестью Н. Никонова «След рыси» в 11 классе.....	125
<i>Bikbaeva E. R.</i> Great Secrets of Small Genres: Literature Lesson Project on the Story of N. Dashevsky "Krendelkov".....	129

THE TRAJECTORIES OF MODERN LITERARY PROCESS

<i>Bogdanova O. A.</i> Dacha as Topos in the Literature of the 20 Century and in E. G. Vodolazkin's Novel The Aviator	134
<i>Turyshcheva O. N.</i> Books Burning: the New Semantics of the Old Motif.....	141
<i>Pantuhina A. I.</i> Motive of Martyrdom in the Novel by V. Sharov «The Raising of Lazarus».....	146

PROBLEMS OF THE POETRY OF FOREIGN LITERATURE

<i>Balachova T. V.</i> From the 19th Century to the 20th Century: the Portrait of French Literature by Maximilian Voloshin.....	153
<i>Lovtsova O. V.</i> Virtual Reality of Contemporary Childhood in the Plays by British Playwrights.....	159

FROM A YOUNG SCHOLAR'S DESK

<i>Zhukova Ju. V.</i> The Tendency of “A Hidden Painting” in Literature (Ekphrasis in Leonov’s Art).....	165
<i>Zhuykova E. V.</i> About One of Lenin’s Image Prototypes in “The Red Wheel” by A. Solzhenitsyn.....	172

REVIEWS

<i>Uryupin I. S.</i> The Chronicle of Domestic Literary Criticism in Persons (Review of the dictionary: Russian literary critics of the XX century: Biobibliographic dictionary. Т. I. А–І / сост. А. А. Holikov; under O. A. Klinga and A. A. Holikov's general edition. — М.; SPb.: Nestor — History, 2017. — 532 p.: silt.).....	177
<i>Arias-Vikhil M. A.</i> «Логика последовательного и крайнего гуманизма»: о новой книге Павла Басинского «Горький: страсти по Максиму» (М.: АСТ, редакция Елены Шубиной, 2018).....	180
<i>Спишьякова А., Громинова А.</i> Международная научно-практическая конференция «Определение картины мира в русском языке, культуре и литературе» (10–11 мая 2018 года, г. Трнава.....	183

ТРАЕКТОРИИ СОВРЕМЕННОГО ЛИТЕРАТУРНОГО ПРОЦЕССА

УДК
ББК

ГСНТИ 17.07.41

Код ВАК 10.01.01

О. А. Богданова

Москва, Россия

ДАЧНЫЙ ТОПОС В ЛИТЕРАТУРНЫХ ИСТОЧНИКАХ XX В. И В РОМАНЕ Е. Г. ВОДОЛАЗКИНА «АВИАТОР»¹

Аннотация. В статье предпринято исследование топосов помещичьей усадьбы и дачи на материале русской прозы и поэзии рубежа XIX–XX вв. (К. М. Фофанов, Н. А. Лейкин, А. П. Чехов, А. М. Горький, Д. С. Мережковский, З. Н. Гиппиус, А. П. Каменский, А. А. Блок, Б. К. Зайцев и др.), с одной стороны, и рубежа XX–XXI вв. (Б. А. Ахмадулина, Т. А. Бек, С. М. Гандлевский, И. А. Кабыш, А. Н. Варламов и др.) — с другой. Отмечена нарастающая тенденция к их сближению в русской литературе 1920–1980-х гг. (Б. Л. Пастернак, Ю. В. Трифонов, А. Г. Битов, Саша Соколов и др.).

В романе Е. Г. Водолазкина «Авиатор» (2016) центральной локализацией топосов усадьбы и дачи становится популярная в конце XIX — первой трети XX в. местность к юго-западу от Петербурга — Сиверская, с мерцающей дискретностью и контрапунктностью сопровождающих ее нарративов и репрезентаций. Именно Сиверская таит разгадку «авиатора» как главного концепта романа и образа XX века как целого, предстоящего перед Божьим судом. Цель работы — исследовать своеобразие усадебно-дачного аспекта пространственной организации текста в темпоральной и рецептивной динамике.

Путем применения историко-литературного и историко-функционального методов, элементов нарративного анализа, а также структурно-семиотического, мифопоэтического и геокультурологического подходов установлено, что локус Сиверской становится полем культурно-исторических, неомифологических и стилистических метаморфоз, восходя от бытовых зарисовок дачной жизни начала XX в. к символическому образу вечности, подлинного бытия, освобожденного от принудительной череды исторических событий, политики и идеологии и генетически связанного с «усадебным текстом» русской классики. Отмеченный эффект достигается в романе с помощью поэтики анахронизмов, работающих как средство актуализации нужных топосов.

Результаты исследования актуальны для верификации картины русской национальной топики, важной частью которой являются топосы усадьбы и дачи. Кроме того, они могут представлять интерес для понимания аксиологии и коммуникативных стратегий русской прозы рубежа XX–XXI вв.

Ключевые слова: дача; помещичья усадьба; топос; локус; Серебряный век; XX век; Е. Г. Водолазкин; роман «Авиатор».

O. A. Bogdanova

Moscow, Russia

DACHA AS TOPOS IN THE LITERATURE OF THE 20 CENTURY AND IN E. G. VODOLAZKIN'S NOVEL THE AVIATOR

Abstract. The paper is a study of the topos of the noble estate (manor) and the dacha according to the data of the Russian fiction prose and poetry both of the turn of 19–20 cc. (K. M. Fofanov, N. A. Leykin, A. P. Chekhov, A. M. Gorky, D. S. Merezhkovsky, Z. N. Gippius, A. P. Kamensky, A. A. Blok, B. K. Zaitsev, etc.) and of the turn of 20–21 cc. (B. A. Akhmadulina, T. A. Beck, S. M. Gandlevsky, I. A. Kabysch, A. N. Varlamov, etc.). We seek for their accelerated convergence in the Russian literature of 1920–1980s (B. L. Pasternak, Yu. V. Trifonov, A. G. Bitov, Sasha Sokolov, etc.).

In E. G. Vodolazkin's novel *The Aviator* (2016), the focal disposition of the topos of the noble estate and the dacha becomes the popular in the late 19 — first third of the XX century area Siverskaya, laid to the South-West of St. Petersburg, along with flickering discreteness and counterpoints of accompanying narratives and representations. Siverskaya makes sense to the “aviator” main concept of the novel and as generalising image of the 20 century in the whole mood, as waiting God's judgement. The purpose of the paper is to explore the uniqueness of this estate–dacha aspect of the spatial structure of the narrative in its temporal and receptive dynamics.

Through use of By applying historical-literary and historical-functional methodics, some patterns of narrative analysis, as well as structural-semiotic, mythopoetic and geocultural approaches to text analysis we prove that the locus of Siverskaya becomes a kind of field of different cultural-historical, neo-mythological and stylistic metamorphoses, ranged from household-oriented sketches of country life at the beginning of the 20century to symbolic image of the eternity, as real being, free from violent determination of historical events, policies and ideologies and genealogically associated with the “estate text” of the Russian classics. This effect is produced in the novel by poetics of anachronisms, working as mean of reactualization of demanded topoi.

The conclusions are relevant for the verification of the panorama of the Russian national topic, where the topoi of the noble estate and the dacha are crucial. They are also seminal for understanding axiology and communicative strategies of the Russian prose at the turn of 20–21 centuries.

Keywords: dacha; estate; topos; locus; the Silver age (Russian literature); 20 century; E. G. Vodolazkin; the novel *The Aviator*.

“The moral and artistic topic”, according to A. M. Panchenko, is the most vivid expression of “national axiomatics” [Panchenko 1986: 246, 248]. In the

spatial organization of “The Aviator”, a significant place is taken by topos, which is “a very special phenomenon of Russian life” [Tsivyan, 2018]; its main localization in the novel are Kuokkala, Siverskaya and Alushta. In a discrete-temporal, fragmentary form of the work, diary-style appeals to these dacha loci are distrib-

¹ Исследование выполнено в ИМЛИ РАН за счет средств гранта РФФ № 18-18-00129 «Русская усадьба в литературе и культуре: отечественный и зарубежный взгляд».

uted unevenly both in quantity, in greatness, in subject of appeal, and in the form of discursive modifications (narrative and iterative) [Tyupa 2008: 60].

Just we need to note that the space of dacha (and not only of dacha) in the novel by Vodolazkin is primarily psychological and symbolic, since, with the exception of single instances, it is reproduced in the memory of the novel character. And although often this space has specific historical and geographic linkage, it often becomes symbolically generalized, and at the end of the novel it is unaddressed. For example, the rustling of bicycle tires along a dirt road or the taste of raspberries in a plate on a wooden veranda could be associated with the Siverskaya countryside only according to the logic of the development of the discourse of the main character of the novel Innokentiy Platonov. These unaddressed images incorporate the experience of other narrators who joined Platonov in the second part of the novel, Dr. Geiger and Nastya, and as a result of this alliance they acquire mythopoetic dimension.

If Kuokkala in the novel by Vodolazkin got two passages, Alushta three, then Siverskaya even twenty-two, the most number! In this paper we focus specifically on these appeals to the dacha in *The Aviator*. As the encyclopedia says, Siverskaya is the “station of the Warsaw Railway in 62 Versts from St. Petersburg, the St. Petersburg Gubernia of the Tsarskoye Selo Udezd, with the Orezhe River. Country houses, the inhabitants in summer up to 6000. The terrain is beautiful, wooded and healthy. The Orthodox Church, the pharmacy, the summer theater” [Brockhaus and Efron 1900: 817]. At the beginning of the 18 century, these places belonged to the heir to the Russian throne, Tsarevich Alexei Petrovich. At the end of the same century noblemen's estates appeared here, in particular Vyra and Rozhdestveno, which since 1890 belonged to the grandfather of V. V. Nabokov (1899–1977) on the maternal line I. V. Rukavishnikov. The best childhood memories of the emigre writer are reflected in his autobiographical book *Other Banks* (1954): “Picnics, performances, stormy games, our mysterious virgin park, charming Grandma's Batovo, magnificent Wittgenstein's estates — Drueling from Siverskaya <...> all this remained an idyllic engraving background in memory, which now finds a similar pattern only in the very old Russian literature” [Nabokov 2017: 48] (from Russian version).

With the construction of the Warsaw Railway (1857) Siverskaya and its surroundings acquired the status of the “Summer Capital” of Russia. For collective recreation public park, pubs, post office, library, a shop of colonial goods and several summer theaters were arranged here. Among the famous summer residents and guests of Siverskaya had been poets and writers A. N. Maikov, M. E. Saltykov (Shchedrin), A. N. Pleshcheev, S. Y. Nadson, D. S. Merezhkovsky, Z. N. Gippius, A. A. Blok, K. I. Chukovsky, A. A. Akhmatova, artists I. N. Kramskoy, I. I. Shishkin, actress V. F. Komissarzhevskaya and many others.

The Merezhkovskies began to go on summer vacation in the area around Verkhny Oredezh since 1896. Here the novels of the first Merezhkovsky's trilogy *Christ and Antichrist* were written, the second *Leonardo da Vinci* (1900) and the third *Peter and Alexei* (1905),

the religious and philosophical treatise *L. Tolstoy and Dostoevsky. Life, creativity, religion* (1900-1), the drama *Paul I* (1908). In Siverskaya they lived in the summer of 1914, at the days of the beginning of the First World War. In 1917, having spent most of the spring and summer in Kislovodsk, since August 7 they settled, together with D. V. Filosofov, V. A. Zlobin, and Z. N. Gippius sisters in the estate of Prince. Wittgensteins' estate *Druzhnoselye* (lit.: Friendly Settlement) few versts from the station Siverskaya [See: Semochkin 2015: 91], was the place, where they remained in the days of the Kornilov rebellion and the Bolshevik coup, until winter [See: Pavlova 2013: 296]. They returned there and in the summer of 1918. In the poetic cycle of Gippius, entitled *In Druzhnoselye* (1918), the estate appears in all the inviolability of the myth of the “closed vineyard” [See: Shchukin 2007: 219–248], as evidenced in the last part of the cycle

3. Suppose that.

Let the bloody thunder roar,
Let the animal's thunders rumble.
I will sing quiet sunsets
And your loving eyes.

[Gippius 1926] (all the poems are translated by A. Markov)

Merezhkovsky depicted the landscapes of Siverskaya in the novel *Antichrist. Peter and Alexei* (1905): “We are in Rozhdestvensky, the Prince's manor, in Kopersky uyezd, seventy versts from Petersburg, writes (the character) crown princess Charlotte Julian Arnheim in her diary. — <...> Around the forest. Quiet. Only the trees are noisy, but the birds are chirping. Rapid, like a mountain, the river Oredezh gurgles below under steep cliffs of red clay, on which the first green of birches shines like smoke, the greenery of the fir-trees blackens like coal. <...> The prince loves this place. He says he would always live here, and he does not need anything else, just to leave him alone. He reads, writes in the library, prays in the chapel, works in the garden, in the garden, fish, wanders through the forests” Merezhkovsky 1990: 436–437]. It is here that Alexei manages to be himself: free, calm, loving, genuine.

Let's return to the novel *The Aviator*. In the first part, entirely filled with the discourse of Innocent, in the aggregate, a rather complete picture of the country life of the beginning of the 20th century is developed, which ends with a philosophical-symbolic generalization of paradise. With some reservations, the creative image of Siverskaya correlates with the estates of Vyra and Rozhdestveno, reproduced by the childhood memory of V. V. Nabokov in the *Other Shores*: “... I restore with a festive clarity my native, like my own blood circulation, the path from our Vyra to the village of Rozhdestveno, on the other side of Oredezh: the reddish road <...> going between ... colonnades of thick birches, past unwanted fields, and then: a turn, a descent to the river, sparkling between the brocade slums, a bridge suddenly talking under hoofs, a dazzling glitter of the tins left by the barbler on the railing, the uncle's white mansion on the mounded hill, another bridge, through the Oredezh arm, the other hill, with limes, a pink church, a marble crypt of the Rukavishnikovs <...>” [Nabokov 2017: 20] (from Russian version).

Nevertheless, in this novel allusion an element of the antithesis was implied: the manor and the dacha are related, but still different topoi. Arguing about the cultural metamorphoses of the Silver Age, E. E. Dmitriev writes: "... the new trends, not-idyllic, no-time paradise seen <...> in the outlook for summer residence, with its temporary home, opposing the estate outlook, which had been based on the sense of the continuity of generations, its own historical rootedness of man in the soil. The ideal of the estate garden, image of the garden of Eden as model of the earth in the suburban area was essentially absent, being replaced in the poetic expression with "fennel and nettles" in Fofanov's poem [Fofanov 2010: 72], but existentially by vulgarity and pragmatism. <...> The dacha consciousness encroaches on the holy of holies of the estate, the principle of isolation, the protection of the ideal space. And, most importantly, on the very idea of "high uselessness" of the manor life, his detachment from the topic of the day <...>" [Dmitriev, Kuptsov 2008: 161]. And although, as V. G. Shchukin wrote, at the turn of the 19–20 centuries in the works by A. P. Chekhov there is a "completely original socio-cultural locus of manor-dacha" [Shchukin in 2007: 393], the majority of housing estates around major cities, especially Moscow and St. Petersburg, had got the features of mass, routine, mediocrity, which was reflected in essays and stories by N. A. Leikin, A. P. Kamensky and many others [Schukin 2007: 382–383, 419–421]. In the poem by A. A. Blok *The Stranger* (1906) was given a similar picture of the summer village of *Ozerki* near St. Petersburg:

In the evenings over restaurants
Hot air is wild and deaf,
And their rules drunken shouts
Spring and pernicious spirit.

In the distance, above the dust of the lane,
Over the boredom of suburban dachas,
Slightly golden pretzel is installed,
And a child's cry is heard.

And every evening, behind the barriers,
Putting highly their pots,
Among the ditches are walking with the ladies
All tested wits.

The oars rive over the lake,
And a woman's squeal is heard
<...>.

[Block 1997: 122–123]

In the story by B. K. Zaytsev *Mother and Katya* (1914), on the features noted above are contrasted the Panurin's manor and the nearby dacha, on which the Moscow sisters rested.

This perception of the dacha tend to indicate some artistic details in *The Aviator*: the fact of Innocent's parents' house demolition, the scout marches along the neighbor dachas with the bugle and drum, the presence of the railway station as a "threshold" space, covered with formidable alarm: in 1914 there were convoys with artillery guns sent to the front, in 1917 the relatives were in vain waiting in the evening on the platform of his father, who never returned to his family after his

service, killed by an accidental soldier at the Warsaw railway station in Petrograd.

At the beginning of the 20 century because of the relatively low cost of renting the dacha "became a collectively obsolete space of communal type, falling into the cultural gap between elite and mass culture" [Yakusheva 2015: 343]. D. S. Likhachev similarly recalled his pre-revolutionary childhood: "To save money, every spring, going to the country, we had broken the rent of the city apartment. All our furniture was driven by artelers to the warehouse, and in the autumn we rented a new <...> apartment <...>" [Likhachev 2006: 31–32]. In the Russian literature of this period, a whole tradition of negative attitudes toward dachas and dachaers was formed, there was a steady opposition between the manor and the dacha, which "... arises ... as an antinomy of the estate: the fragmentation of the estate space and the appearance of dachas inside it, as completely different and alien to the manor house space and locus" [Semenitskaya 2010: 226]. A summer resident is only a temporary inhabitant of a house and a small plot of land, a "guest"; producing "a place of seasonal living, a space that does not exist, or at least not relevant outside summer time" [Sinit'skaya 2010: 221]. In the drama by A. P. Chekhov's *The Cherry Orchard* (1903) the very word "summer resident" is endowed with ironically negative connotations. Lopakhin says: "All the cities, even the smallest, are now surrounded by dachas. And we can say that a summer resident in 20 years will multiply to extraordinariness" [Chekhov 1978: 206]. In the drama by A. M. Gorky *The Dachaers* (1904) we read: "Dachaers is ... <...> sort like in a bad weather bladders in a puddle ..., jump up and burst ..., jump up and burst ..." [Gorky 1970: 210], and also: "We are holiday-makers in our country ... some kind of visiting people" [Gorky 1970: 276].

Such axiology of the dacha is also seen in *The Aviator*, in particular in the episode of Platonov's and Geiger's joint visit to Siverskaya in 1999. Innocent did not recognize the railway station, the surrounding residential landscape too, the former familiar landmark, the estate of Baron Friedericks, had not been preserved, on the river was garbage and dirty foam, the toponyms were odd and hostile (instead of Church Street was Red Street), the surviving dacha house had been rebuilt and unavailable for visiting because of the memory lack of its current owner.

Nevertheless, the researchers point to a historically controversial contradiction in the semantics of the dacha in the last third of the 20th century: on the one hand, "... the space of the dacha is marked as a temporary, accidental haven in contrast to the manor first of all, and later to the city apartment. <...> At the same time, the dacha's space inherits certain facets of the semantics of the estate space, endowed with its functions, in particular those that associated with a stable motive of preserving the ancestral memory <...>" [Tropkina 2012: 128]. In fact, the above episode is enveloped in "uncomparable Siewer air" in front of the character the same spatial geometry of the road with the "red cliffs", and in the window of that very country house an un fading "yellowish" light [Vodolazkin 2016: 103]. In front of the reader takes places a transformation of the external space into the internal, specifically: of the geograph-

ical into the symbolic, of the psychological into the mythopoetic: "... the light in the house did not go out; there must have been someone there. Perhaps my family. Whenever I entered, I would see all my loved ones <...>, and I would understand that everything, except for their timeless sitting at the table, was a dream and an obsession, and would burst into tears from the surging happiness ..." [Vodolazkin 2016: 104]. So the topos with characteristic for prerevolutionary era negative-neutral connotations was translated into the axiological register of the estate or manor as "paradise on earth".

It is not for nothing that the following compositionally close appeal to Siverskaya is created in a manorly key: "In essence, here it is, Paradise. The house is for sleeping mom, dad, grandmother. We love each other, we are together well and calmly. <...> I do not want new events, let there be something that already exists, is not it enough? <...> Paradise is the absence of time. If time stops, there would be no more events. There would be eventless existence. Pines would remain, below brown, clumsy, and above smooth and amber. Gooseberries also would not disappear from the fence. The squeak of the gate, the muffled crying of the child in the neighboring dacha, the first thud of rain on the roof of the veranda all that the change of governments and the fall of empires would never abolish. What is carried out on top of history is timeless, liberated" [Vodolazkin 2016: 163–164]. At the stylistic-speech level, the declining of the narrative, its dissolution in the myth is declared here [See: Tyupa 2008: 134], which in practice is realized in the second part of the novel, where Siverskaya appears primarily in the mythopoetic key.

Analyzing Russian poetry of the last third of the 20th century (B. A. Akhmadulina, T. A. Beck, S. M. Gandlevsky, I. A. Kabyshev), N. E. Tropkina notes that in it, in comparison with the beginning of the 20th century, the semantic dominant at turning to the dacha topos became significantly different, shifting from the social to the existential one. "Topos of the dacha, in continuation of the tradition of homestead myth, was represented in Russian poetry of the late 20th — early 21st century as an idyllic space associated with memories of childhood" and youthful love [Tropkina 2012: 130]. The same is observed in the prose of the end of the 20th century. For example, in the space-time structure of the novel by A. N. Varlamov *The Lakh* (1995), the central place is the locus of a dacha outside Moscow in Kupavna on the shore of the Pearl Lake. Parents of the character received it as a gift from his grandfather under condition of the Christian baptism of his son. Subsequently, in a close model house with the terrace of Sanya Tezkin overtake early love and separation, the first creative yearning. After many years of wandering, the "superfluous man" of the 1990s again settles in Kupavna, spending at the dacha all year round, as his predecessors from the 19th century in their manors. And although the "cold house was little adapted for the autumn-winter life": "the wind was blowing from all the cracks <...>, the stove, before it warmed up, enveloped the room with smoke" — "evening walks along the deserted shore ... the soul of the memory of the first youth, when he was a bright soul <...>" [Varlamov 2010: 127]. Fog over the lake and bare gardens witnessed the fateful

meetings of the character with the local priest, the last conversation with the father about the meaning of existence, the formation of the vital position of "worldly holiness". The traditional manor motif of "expulsion from Paradise" is also intertwined: after the death of the father the dacha gets to the elder brothers, who evict Tezkin away. A bought hut in the Tver village of *Horoshaya* (lit.: Good Village), where the character ends his life, is just a substitute for the "family home" in Kupavna.

It turns out that the perception of the dacha topos by the character of *The Aviator* Innocent Platonov is characteristic not so much for the beginning as for the end of the 20th century, when there was a universal convergence of the dacha and manor and reevaluation of the first. In fact, this trend manifested itself before already in the works by B. L. Pasternak (*Sister my life*, 1922; *Second ballad*, 1930; *Peredelkino*, 1941–1944; *Doctor Zhivago*, 1945–1955), then by Yu. V. Trifonov (*The Change*, 1969, *The House on the Embankment*, 1976), A. G. Bitov (*Countryside for dachas*, 1969), Sasha Sokolov (*School for Fools*, 1976) [See: Shchukin 2007: 422–8; 431–3].

All the above mentioned leads to one of the main thoughts of the novel by Vodolazkin: Platonov absorbed *the whole* of the 20th century entirely, as a hero from the Silver Age *by origin* he managed to grow in the next decades, until the 1990s. The opposition between the pre-revolutionary and Soviet, Soviet and post-Soviet periods is removed at a novel depth, and the ontological homogeneity of the age, comprehended in existential experience, emerges from their. This is done in many cases with the help of the poetics of an anachronism.

Finally, we turn to the discrete sequence of fragments about Siverskaya in the novel *The Aviator*. The very first is purely informative and socially external: "We rented a dacha in Siverskaya. They came on the Warsaw railway in the second class, in the smoke and steam clubs. <...> Our luggage, our feather beds, hammocks, dishes, balls, fishing rods, were unloaded from the baggage car on a cart" [Vodolazkin 2016: 42–43]. Further, with the smallest topographical details, the way from the station to a detached country house is described, historical and everyday details are given: how many men took for pushing a cart, the price of a bottle of beer, etc. Then we find a sudden transition from the social essay to the existential discourse: again the platform, but now it's not the list of things on the cart that matters, but the "incomparable Siewer air", the colors and sounds "brown, bottomless, splashing", "the roar of the waterfall on the dam", "the trembling of metal rails", "a rainbow in the spray", "the fiery ocher of the precipice". "The house above Oredezhyu" [Vodolazkin 2016: 43–44] in the depth of Innocent's soul is more associated with the Nabokov's manor than with modest demountable housings.

The second approach to the "Siewer" motif is the Innocent's gazing of old photos in the computer screen. "But Siverskaya, the road from the mill, the beginning of the century. <...> On Friday evening we went to the station to meet my father after a week's work, and on Sunday evening we saw off" [Vodolazkin 2016: 50]. Considering the role of an anachronism in Vodolazkin's poetics, let us ask ourselves: Is there also a deliberate time shift, a projection of the conditions of the late 20th

century at its beginning? After all, a five-day working week in Russia (USSR) was established only in 1967, the employees had a working day on Saturday before the revolution. Follows a household sketch about the types of fathers of country families, the design of the dacha and city life, the transport to the dacha, the collective waiting on the platform ... And again the abrupt change of the mood: Innocent's father appears no longer a social type, but an individuality in the halo of the unrepeatable gestures, intonations, poses, looks ...

For the third time the manor motif is again weaved into the dacha variation: "The smell of flowers in Siverskaya", "the piercing sunset" on the open veranda, Anastasia Vialtseva with the famous romance about chrysanthemums. It seems a non-random detail that she sang not in the country, but "in the manor of Baron Friedericks" [Vodolazkin 2016: 65], whose lights were reflected in the waters of Oredezha. The motif of withering is consonant with the feeling of the decline of the "manor culture" in the Silver Age.

The next fragment about Siverskaya in the first part is mystical, quite in the mood of manor secrets and myths. The hero experiences a beautiful primordial earth and the feeling of the first person on it, but at the same time feels his own abandonment in the surrounding desertedness and desolation, existential loneliness, and horror. Finally, in the darkness, he approaches the house and sees his parents in a burning welcome window: "Well, here you are, my friend" [Vodolazkin 2016: 72]. The hero is experiencing the highest happiness in his life. There are no specific summer details here. It is a manor topos with its idyllic elegiac closure.

The fifth fragment on the amateur theater in Siverskaya is to compare it with the Russian television shows of the 1990s. Their anchors and participants remind him the demoniacs in the "Siewer" performances. However, in the ordinary life of the 1900's the actor Pechenkin, the bookkeeper and the summer resident, quite easily blotted perspiration on his forehead killing mosquitoes as ordinary people. Valuable dacha is given here in the negative key of the beginning of the 20th century. Vulgarly (*poshlust'*) is associated with infernality quite according to Merezhkovsky in the essay *Gogol and the devil* (1906): "... the face of the devil is not distant, alien, strange, fantastic, but the closest, most familiar, generally really *human, too human* face of the crowd, a person *like everyone else* <...>" [Merezhkovsky 2010: 180].

And then follows the above-mentioned trip of Innocent with Geiger in Siverskaya in 1999 and concluding the first part of the novel apotheosis of the country estate, manor-dacha, as a paradise.

The second part is compositionally a diary-counterpoint of Innocent, Geiger and Nastya, which gradually includes other, anonymous narrators and iterators. Dedicated to Siverskaya fragments, as a rule, are small; often they boil down to a simple mention, to a single title.

So, for example, in the apartment of Anastasia and Nastia in 1999, Innocent saw his photo in Siverskaya in 1917. Pose, gaze into the distance, a dialogue about eternity with the father who photographed him.

Another time, the word takes Geiger: "Innocent said that it was not formed in the camp through hits and tortures. Absolutely through other things. For example,

the chirping of a grasshopper in Siverskaya. The smell of a boiling samovar" [Vodolazkin 2016: 237].

Then Siverskaya disappears from the text for a long time, but to the end of the novel the frequency of its mention increases. So, the native village of Ivan Ostapchuk, a simple peasant with whom Innocent accidentally had to install agitation shields in Petrograd in 1921, was born as it turned out not far from Siverskaya. From the Siverskaya "hummocky field" [Vodolazkin 2016: 345] the airplane took off, and the aviator saw the sky and the wide earth, when horizons of his mind widened noticeably. If you recall the semantics of the title of the novel, it is clear where, in the author's opinion, the true source of these Plato's insights. It is symptomatic, however, that here, too, we encounter the poetics of an anachronism characteristic to the novels by Vodolazkin: after all, the airfield in Siverskaya, which played a significant role during the Finnish and Second World War (Russian: Great Patriotic War), was built in 1936–7, and at the time of the childhood and youth of the hero airplanes and flights were not here. This detail also helps to create the image of Innocent as a man of the entire 20th century, and not just of its beginning, and wider, to create the image of the 20th century as whole before God's judgement.

And further, at the request of Innocent, Geiger enters the discourse, making notion that in Siverskaya "everyone perceives the same" [Vodolazkin 2016: 347], in this case perceiving an abundance of mosquitoes. For Nastia, who joined him with the same goal, Siverskaya first of all is the "country's summer capital", and moreover "the mosquito capital" [Vodolazkin 2016: 349]. A typical "dacha-communal" topos of the beginning of the 20th century is reproduced. And also given an event-historical plan uncharacteristic for the "manor text": Geiger describes artillery pieces on mobile platforms near the Siverskaya station in the autumn of 1914 before being sent to the front.

And finally a few eventless, "eternal" paintings that are associated with Siverskaya only associatively: tea from a samovar in the fall on an open veranda, a bicycle tire on a dirt road, a plate with raspberries on the garden table, a bonfire at sunset near Oredezha. The authors of these sketches are anonymous.

At the end of the novel Innocent visits Munich in the vain hope of medical assistance. There he liked the English garden, only because it reminded Siverskaya. In a long letter to his wife there were only five lines about Munich, the rest was the image of the Siversky forest of late autumn: "Sharp, smelling air, a river between trees, crows on branches" [Vodolazkin 2016: 397]. And again a barely noticeable anachronism. Why November? After all, in Siverskaya, the character was only in the summer. Hence, here too — an expanded "manor" view, as in manors (noble estates) people live in all seasons. The anachronism works as a means of actualizing the desired topos, as indicator of register change. After that, the "aviator" is sent to his last flight over the 20th century, and his "survey is wide enough" [Vodolazkin 2016: 9, 409] to cover the horizon's edges, the beginning and end of the century. A take-off field, of course, was Siverskaya.

ЛИТЕРАТУРА

Блок А. А. Полн. собр. соч. и писем: в 20 т. — М.: Наука, 1997. — Т. 2. — 898 с.

Брокгауз Ф. А., Ефрон И. А. Энциклопедический словарь. — СПб., 1900. — Т. XXIX А. Полutom 58. — С. 469–954.

Варламов А. Н. Лох: Роман // Варламов А. Н. Последние времена: [сборник]. — М.: Астрель; АСТ; Полиграфиздат, 2010. — С. 5–214.

Водолазкин Е. Г. Авиатор: Роман. — М.: АСТ; Редакция Елены Шубиной, 2016. — 410 с.

Гиппиус З. Н. В Дружносельи // Звено. — Париж, 1926. — 21 февр. — № 160. — С. 3.

Горький М. Полн. собр. соч. Художественные произведения: в 25 т. — М.: Наука, 1970. — Т. 7. — 692 с.

Дмитриева Е. Е., Купцова О. Н. Жизнь усадебного мифа: утраченный и обретенный рай. — 2-е изд. — М.: ОГИ, 2008. — 528 с.

Лихачев Д. С. Воспоминания. — М.: Вагриус, 2006. — 428 с.

Мережковский Д. С. Антихрист. Петр и Алексей: Роман // Мережковский Д. С. Собр. соч.: в 4 т. — М.: Правда, 1990. — Т. 2. — С. 319–759.

Мережковский Д. С. Гоголь и черт: Исследование // Мережковский Д. С. Гоголь и черт. — М.: Книжный Клуб Книгозек, 2010. — С. 179–276.

Набоков В. В. Другие берега. — СПб.: Азбука, 2017. — 384 с.

Павлова М. М. «Вижу отсюда: буча из-за войны разгорается...» Из писем Т. Н. Гиппиус к З. Н. Гиппиус, Д. С. Мережковскому и Д. В. Filosofovu. Апрель–август 1917 г. // Политика и поэтика. Русская публицистика и периодика эпохи Первой мировой войны. — М.: ИМЛИ РАН, 2013. — С. 296–392.

Панченко А. М. Топика и культурная дистанция // Историческая поэтика. Итоги и перспективы изучения. — М.: Наука, 1986. — С. 220–260.

Семенецкая О. В. «Путешествие» на дачу: «дачный текст» в современной литературе // Коды русской классики: «дом», «домашнее» как смысл, ценность и код: материалы III Междунар. науч.-практ. конф. (Самара, 19–20 нояб. 2009): в 2 ч. — Самара, 2010. — Ч. 2. — С. 221–226.

Семочкин А. А. Дмитрий Мережковский. — СПб.: Летопись, 2015. — 94 с.

Синицкая А. В. Ваши шесть соток (Дачная «мифология» в российском культурном контексте) // Коды русской классики: «дом», «домашнее» как смысл, ценность и код: материалы III Междунар. науч.-практ. конф. (Самара, 19–20 нояб. 2009): в 2 ч. — Самара, 2010. — Ч. 2. — С. 217–221.

Тропкина Н. Е. Художественная семантика дачного топоса в русской поэзии второй половины XX в. // Известия Волгоградского госуд. пед. ун-та. — 2012. — № 4 (68). — С. 128–132.

Тюпа В. И. Дискурс // Поэтика: Словарь актуальных терминов и понятий / под ред. Н. Д. Тамарченко. — М.: Изд-во Кулагиной; Intrada, 2008. — С. 60.

Тюпа В. И. Нарратив // Поэтика: Словарь актуальных терминов и понятий / под ред. Н. Д. Тамарченко. — М.: Изд-во Кулагиной; Intrada, 2008. — С. 134–135.

Фофанов К. М. Дачная прогулка: Стихотворение (1881) // Фофанов К. М. Стихотворения и поэмы. — СПб.: Изд-во Пушкинского Дома, 2010. — С. 72.

Цивьян Т. В. Дача и дачники в русском представлении. — Режим доступа: http://www.imk.msu.ru/Publications/Vortrags/rt06russ_civjan_dacha.doc (дата обращения: 05.06.2018).

Чехов А. П. Полн. собр. соч. и писем: в 30 т. — М.: Наука, 1978. — Т. 13. — 527 с.

Щукин В. Г. Российский гений просвещения: исследование в области мифопоэтики и истории идей. — М.: РОССПЭН, 2007. — 608 с.

Якушева Л. А. Драматургия дачной жизни в реальности и художественном произведении // Ярославский педагогический вестник. — 2015. — № 5. — С. 342–347.

REFERENCES

Blok A. A. Poln. sobr. soch. i pisem: v 20 t. — M.: Nauka, 1997. — T. 2. — 898 s.

Brokgauz F. A., Efron I. A. Entsiklopedicheskiy slovar'. — SPb., 1900. — T. XXIX A. Polutom 58. — S. 469–954.

Varlamov A. N. Lokh: Roman // Varlamov A. N. Poslednie vremena: [sbornik]. — M.: Astrel'; AST; Poligrafizdat, 2010. — S. 5–214.

Vodolazkin E. G. Aviator: Roman. — M.: AST; Redaktsiya Eleny Shubinoy, 2016. — 410 s.

Gippius Z. N. V Druzhnosel'i // Zveno. — Parizh, 1926. — 21 fevr. — № 160. — S. 3.

Gor'kiy M. Poln. sobr. soch. Khudozhestvennyye proizvedeniya: v 25 t. — M.: Nauka, 1970. — T. 7. — 692 s.

Dmitrieva E. E., Kuptsova O. N. Zhizn' usadebnogo mifa: utrachennyy i obretennyy ray. — 2-e izd. — M.: OGI, 2008. — 528 s.

Likhachev D. S. Vospominaniya. — M.: Vagrius, 2006. — 428 s.

Merezhkovskiy D. S. Antikhrist. Petr i Aleksey: Roman // Merezhkovskiy D. S. Sobr. soch.: v 4 t. — M.: Pravda, 1990. — T. 2. — S. 319–759.

Merezhkovskiy D. S. Gogol' i chert: Issledovanie // Merezhkovskiy D. S. Gogol' i chert. — M.: Knizhnyy Klub Knigovek, 2010. — S. 179–276.

Nabokov V. V. Drugie berega. — SPb.: Azbuka, 2017. — 384 s.

Pavlova M. M. «Vizhu otsyuda: bucha iz-za voyny razgoraetsya...» Iz pisem T. N. Gippius k Z. N. Gippius, D. S. Merezhkovskomu i D. V. Filosofovu. Aprel'–avgust 1917 g. // Politika i poetika. Russkaya publitsistika i periodika epokhi Pervoy mirovoy voyny. — M.: IMLI RAN, 2013. — S. 296–392.

Panchenko A. M. Topika i kul'turnaya distantsiya // Istoricheskaya poetika. Itogi i perspektivy izucheniya. — M.: Nauka, 1986. — S. 220–260.

Semenitskaya O. V. «Puteshestvie» na dachu: «dachnyy tekst» v sovremennoy literature // Kody russkoy klassiki: «dom», «domashnee» kak smysl, tsennost' i kod: materialy III Mezhdunar. nauch.-prakt. konf. (Samara, 19–20 noyab. 2009): v 2 ch. — Samara, 2010. — Ch. 2. — S. 221–226.

Semochkin A. A. Dmitriy Merezhkovskiy. — SPb.: Letopis', 2015. — 94 s.

Sinitskaya A. V. Vashi shest' sotok (Dachnaya «mifologiya» v rossiyskom kul'turnom kontekste) // Kody russkoy klassiki: «dom», «domashnee» kak smysl, tsennost' i kod: materialy III Mezhdunar. nauch.-prakt. konf. (Samara, 19–20 noyab. 2009): v 2 ch. — Samara, 2010. — Ch. 2. — S. 217–221.

Tropkina N. E. Khudozhestvennaya semantika dachnogo toposa v russkoy poezii vtoroy poloviny XX v. // Izvestiya Volgogradskogo gosud. ped. un-ta. — 2012. — № 4 (68). — S. 128–132.

Tyupa V. I. Diskurs // Poetika: Slovar' aktual'nykh terminov i ponyatiy / pod red. N. D. Tamarchenko. — M.: Izd-vo Kulaginoy; Intrada, 2008. — S. 60.

Tyupa V. I. Narrativ // Poetika: Slovar' aktual'nykh terminov i ponyatiy / pod red. N. D. Tamarchenko. — M.: Izd-vo Kulaginoy; Intrada, 2008. — S. 134–135.

Fofanov K. M. Dachnaya progulka: Stikhotvorenie (1881) // Fofanov K. M. Stikhotvoreniya i poemy. — SPb.: Izd-vo Pushkinskogo Doma, 2010. — S. 72.

Tsiv'yan T. V. Dacha i dachniki v russkom predstavlenii. — Rezhim dostupa: <http://www.imk.msu.ru/Publications/>

Vortrags/rt06russ_civjan _dacha.doc (data obrashcheniya: 05.06.2018).

Chekhov A. P. Poln. sobr. soch. i pisem: v 30 t. — M.: Nauka, 1978. — Т. 13. — 527 s.

Shchukin V. G. Rossiyskiy geniy prosveshcheniya: issledovaniya v oblasti mifopoetiki i istorii idey. — M.: ROSSPEN, 2007. — 608 s.

Yakusheva L. A. Dramaturgiya dachnoy zhizni v real'nosti i khudozhestvennom proizvedenii // Yaroslavskiy pedagogicheskiy vestnik. — 2015. — № 5. — S. 342–347.

Данные об авторе

Ольга Алимовна Богданова — доктор филологических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник, Отдел русской литературы конца XIX — начала XX в., Институт мировой литературы им. А. М. Горького, Российская академия наук (Москва).

Адрес: 109431, Россия, г. Москва, ул. Поварская, 25А.

E-mail: olgabogda@yandex.ru.

About the author

Olga Alimovna Bogdanova — doctor of Philology, leading researcher, the Department of Russian literature of late XIX – early XX century, A. M. Gorky Institute of World literature, Russian academy of sciences (Moscow).